BY08 Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary
Exhibit 300

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION
In Part I, complete Sections A. B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

The following series of questions are to be completed for all investments to help OMB to identify which agency and bureau is responsible for managing each capital asset, which OMB MAX budget account funds the project, the kind of the project, who to contact with questions about the information provided in the exhibit 300, and whether or not it is an IT or a non-IT capital asset.

(1) Date of Submission:	9/11/2006
(2) Agency:	202
(3) Bureau:	00
(4) Name of this Capital Asset: (250 Character Max)	Corps of Engineers Financial Management System (CEFMS)
(5) Unique ID (Unique Project Identifier):	202-00-01-01-01-1010-00
Format xxx-xx-xx-xx-xx-xxxx-xx (For IT investments only, see section _53_. For all other, use agency ID system.)	
(6) What kind of investment will this be in FY2008?	Operations and Maintenance
(7) What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?	FY2001 or earlier

(8) Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes a gap in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap: (2500 Char Max)

CEFMS is a fully operational web-based, interactive financial management system that integrates U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) business processes and supports the management of all types of work and funds. CEFMS

provides full end-to-end electronic financial business process support to Military, Civil Works, Support for Others, and Environmental programs in an integrated electronic Government to Government (G2G) business environment, for its 35,000 users. The major function of CEFMS is to provide a modern financial management system that takes advantage of advances such as the ORACLE-based relational data base management system, electronic signature, and end-user report writers, which have become essential in the processing of financial and accounting data. It provides flexible levels of funds control capability so that project and functional managers have responsibility and control over execution of funds and continual access to accurate financial data. The previous system used ?batch processing? which required project managers to manually keep track of events affecting the financial status of their projects between batch processing cycles. During the processing cycle, some transactions rejected because of input errors or funding conditions. This caused differences between the official financial reports and what project managers expected. CEFMS closes that performance gap by providing real-time, accurate, financial data to all users. CEFMS also supports the Corps of Engineers objective of ?Consistent, Efficient and Effective Business Processes? by providing financial information for Project Managers and interfacing with the Corps of Engineers? automated Program and Project Management System, P2. Financial transactions are entered directly into CEFMS for all appropriation accounting, Administrative Control of Funds, Time & Attendance posting and Labor Distribution, Accounts Payable, Accounts Receivable, Disbursing/Collections, Debt Management, Travel Management, Acquisition, Asset Management, Inventory Control, Personnel/Manpower, Budget Formulation and Execution, and Financial Reporting. CEFMS includes electronic signature creation and verification to ensure data integrity and internal control for all transactions leading to the disbursement of funds. This field-level financial management tool integrates USACE work management functions with accounting requirements prescribed under U.S. Government Standard General Ledger (USSGL) policies.

(9) Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	yes
a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	2006-06-29-04:00
(10) Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	yes

(11) Contact Information of Project Manager?

Name:	
Phone Number:	
E-Mail:	

(12) Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project. (Answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

yes

(a) Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	yes
(b) Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)	no
[1] If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?	no
[2] If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?	no
[3] If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?	no

(13) Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives? yes

If "yes," select all that apply:

President's Management Agenda (PMA) Initiatives
Expanded E-Government
Financial Performance
Real Property Asset Management

 a.   Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)?

CEFMS integrates USACE work management functions with accounting requirements prescribed under U.S. Government Standard General Ledger (USSGL) policies. The Corps strategy is to provide leadership and stakeholders with real-time, reliable financial information and to continue to pursue a favorable audit opinion on Civil Works financial statements. CEFMS is a web-based financial management system which includes electronic signature for authorizations.

(14) Does this investment support a program assessed using OMB's no
Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

(a) If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?	no
(b) If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program ?	Not a PARTed program
(c) If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?	Results Not Demostrated

(15) Is this investment for information technology?   (see section 53 for definition)

yes

If the answer to Question 15 was "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below.
If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

(16) What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council PM Guidance)?	Level 3
(17) What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance):	(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for th...
(18) Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's 'high risk" memo)?	no
(19) Is this a financial management system?	yes
(a) If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?	yes
[1] If "yes," which compliance area:	Financial System Requirements (including all sub-areas); Accounting Standards; US Standard General Ledger at transaction level
[2] If "no," what does it address?	N/A
(b) If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A–11 section 52:	Corps of Engineers Financial Management System (CEFMS)

(20) What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware %:	Software %:	Services %:	Other %:	Total %
2	1	97	0	100

(21) If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

n/a

(22) Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name:	
Phone Number:	
Title:	Privacy Act Officer
E-Mail:	

(23) Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? no

---

Section B: Summary of Funding (All Capital Assets)

(1) Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included <u>only</u> in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be **excluded** from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS)

All amounts represent Budget Authority (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 & Spending Prior to 2006	PY 2006	CY 2007	BY 2008	BY +1 2009	BY+2 2010	BY+3 2011	BY+4 2012 and beyond	Total
Planning	$11.971	$0.000	$0.000	$0.000	$0.000				$11.971
Acquisition	$10.410	$0.000	$0.000	$0.000	$0.000				$10.410
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition	$22.381	$0.000	$0.000	$0.000	$0.000	$0.000	$0.000	$0.000	$22.381
Operations & Maintenance	$81.716	$10.850	$11.251	$11.529	$6.731				$122.077
TOTAL	$104.097	$10.850	$11.251	$11.529	$6.731	$0.000	$0.000	$0.000	$144.458
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.									
Government FTE Costs	$19.078	$1.700	$1.768	$1.839	$1.912				$26.297
Number of FTE represented by cost	210	17	17	17	17				278

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

(2) Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? no

   (a) If "yes," How many and in what year?

N/A

(3) If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2007 President's budget request, briefly explain
those changes.

The FY08 300 Business Case includes total cost, funded by both Military and Civil Works appropriations for the

Corps of Engineers Financial Management System (CEFMS). The FY2007 submission included only that portion (approx 60%) of costs funded by Civil Works appropriations ? but for two automated information systems: CEFMS and the much smaller Corps of Engineers Enterprise Management Information System (CEEMIS) ? as one business case (Financial Management System ? FMS)

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

(1) Complete the table for all contracts and/or task orders in place or planned for this investment:

Contract or Task Order Number: GS-35F-5391H   Type of Contract/TO Used: Firm Fixed Price
Has the Contract Being Awarded: yes

Contract Actual/Planned Award Date: 9/28/2004

Contract/TO Start Date: 10/1/2004   Contract/TO End Date: 9/30/2009

Contract/TO Total Value ($M):   Inter Agency Acquisition: no
Performance Based Contract: yes
Competitively Awarded Contract: yes
Alternative Financing: NA   EVM Required: no
Security Privacy Clause: yes

Contracting Officer (CO) Contact Information:

CO Name:
CO Contact Information (Phone/Email):
CO Certification Level (Level 1, 2, 3, N/A): 3
If N/A has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition? (Y/N) yes

Contract or Task Order Number: GS-35F-0158P   Type of Contract/TO Used: Firm Fixed Price
Has the Contract Being Awarded: yes

Contract Actual/Planned Award Date: 9/29/2004

Contract/TO Start Date: 10/1/2004   Contract/TO End Date: 9/30/2009

Contract/TO Total Value ($M): $8.265   Inter Agency Acquisition: no
Performance Based Contract: yes
Competitively Awarded Contract: yes

Alternative Financing: NA   EVM Required: no

Security Privacy Clause: yes

Contracting Officer (CO) Contact Information:

CO Name:

CO Contact Information (Phone/Email):

CO Certification Level (Level 1, 2, 3, N/A): 3

If N/A has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition? (Y/N) yes

---

Contract or Task Order Number: GS-35F-0233N   Type of Contract/TO Used: Firm Fixed Price

Has the Contract Being Awarded: yes

Contract Actual/Planned Award Date: 12/20/2004

Contract/TO Start Date: 12/20/2004   Contract/TO End Date: 12/19/2009

Contract/TO Total Value ($M): $4.562   Inter Agency Acquisition: no

Performance Based Contract: yes

Competitively Awarded Contract: yes

Alternative Financing: NA   EVM Required: no

Security Privacy Clause: yes

Contracting Officer (CO) Contact Information:

CO Name:

CO Contact Information (Phone/Email):

CO Certification Level (Level 1, 2, 3, N/A): 3

If N/A has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition? (Y/N) yes

---

Contract or Task Order Number: GS-35F-0377J   Type of Contract/TO Used: Firm Fixed Price

Has the Contract Being Awarded: yes

Contract Actual/Planned Award Date: 1/27/2005

Contract/TO Start Date: 1/27/2005   Contract/TO End Date: 1/26/2010

Contract/TO Total Value ($M):   Inter Agency Acquisition:

Contract/TO Total Value ($M): $5.175   Inter Agency Acquisition: no

Performance Based Contract: yes

Competitively Awarded Contract: yes

Alternative Financing: NA   EVM Required: no

Security Privacy Clause: yes

Contracting Officer (CO) Contact Information:

CO Name:

CO Contact Information (Phone/Email):

CO Certification Level (Level 1, 2, 3, N/A): 3

If N/A has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition? (Y/N) yes

---

Contract or Task Order Number: GS=35F-4704G   Type of Contract/TO Used: Firm Fixed Price

Has the Contract Being Awarded: yes

Contract Actual/Planned Award Date: 2/2/2005

Contract/TO Start Date: 2/2/2005   Contract/TO End Date: 2/1/2010

Contract/TO Total Value ($M): $7.150   Inter Agency Acquisition: no

Performance Based Contract: yes

Competitively Awarded Contract: yes

Alternative Financing: NA   EVM Required: no

Security Privacy Clause: yes

Contracting Officer (CO) Contact Information:

CO Name:

CO Contact Information (Phone/Email):

CO Certification Level (Level 1, 2, 3, N/A): 3

If N/A has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition? (Y/N) yes

(2) If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or
    task orders above, explain why:

The current contracts, each of which was awarded for a base year with four one-year options, are for programming support of a Steady State system. Earned Value Management was not included in the terms of these contracts, but will be included for the next solicitation/awards (September 2009 ? February 2010). In the interim, we will manage to EV by determining cost / schedule performance indices and variances.

(3) Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance? yes

Section 508 Compliance Explanation:

Ensuring compliance with Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act will be accomplished by the following measures: (1) Information systems, web developed applications and products that are new or have undergone changes since June 21, 2000 will not be allowed to be deployed unless they are made fully accessible to individuals with disabilities; (2) language has been and will be further strengthened in contracts involving information systems and web products to ensure they are made accessible; (3) Sec

(4) Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

yes

  (a) If "yes", what is the date? 7/13/2006
  (b) If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed? yes
    [1] If "no," briefly explain why:
    N/A

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use Table 1 below for reporting performance goals and measures for all non-IT investments

and for existing IT investments that were initiated prior to FY 2005. The table can be extended to include measures for years beyond FY 2006.

Table 1

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Performance Measure	Actual/ Baseline (from previous year)	Planned Performance Metric (Target)	Performance Metric Results (Actual)
2005	Reliable and Accurate Financial Data	DoD-IG CFO Auditof CW Fin Statements	DoD-IG refused to conduct audit of FY04 Financial Statements	Unqualified CFO Audit	DoD-IG accepted FY05 balances to use for FY06 audit
2005	Support Project Management	Customer Satisfaction Survey	Avg score of 2.82 (out of 4)	Improve satisfaction by 8% (to avg score of 2.9)	Avg score improved by 23.8% to 3.49 (out of 4)
2006	Reliable and Accurate Financial Data	DoD-IG CFO Auditof CW Fin Statements	DoD-IG accepted FY05 balances to use for FY06 audit	Unqualified CFO Audit	Audit of FY06 is on-going (completion after 30 Sep 06)
2006	Support Project Management	Customer Satisfaction Survey	Avg score of 3.49 (out of 4)	Improve satisfaction to avg of 3.5 (out of 4)	Avg score improved to 3.57 (out of 4)
2006	Support Project Management	Customer Satisfaction Survey	6.4% of individual responses were 2.0 or less (out of 4)	Less than 20% of individual responses with score of 2.0 or less	6.5% of individual responses were 2.0 or less (out of 4.0)
2007	Reliable and Accurate Financial Data	DoD-IG CFO Auditof CW Fin Statements	Audit of FY06 is on-going(completion after 30 Sep 06)	Unqualified CFO Audit	Audit of FY07 will begin after 30 Sep 06
2007	Support Project Management	Customer Satisfaction Survey	Avg score improved to 3.57 (out of 4)	Improve satisfaction to avg of 3.7 (out of 4)	Survey will be conducted in Feb 2007
2007	Support Project Management	Customer Satisfaction Survey	6.5% of individual responses were 2.0 or less (out of 4.0)	6.5% of individual responses were 2.0 or less (out of 4.0)	Survey will be conducted in Feb 2007

All new IT investments initiated for FY 2005 and beyond must use Table 2 and are required to use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Please use Table 2 and the PRM to identify the performance information pertaining to this major IT investment. Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for at least four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov.

Table 2

Fiscal Year	Measurement Area IT	Measurement Grouping IT	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvement to the Baseline	Actual Results

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets Only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and

operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

All systems supporting and/or part of this investment should be included in the tables below, inclusive of both agency owned systems and contractor systems. For IT investments under development, security and privacy planning must proceed in parallel with the development of the system/s to ensure IT security and privacy requirements and costs are identified and incorporated into the overall lifecycle of the system/s.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

(1) Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified and integrated into the overall costs of the investment: yes

    (a) If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year: 5.39

(2) Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment. yes

(3) Systems in Planning - Security:

Name Of System	Agency Or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Planned or Actual C&A Completion Date

(4) Operational Systems - Security:

Name Of System	Agency Or Contractor Operated system	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact Level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has the C&A been completed using NIST 800-37?	Date C&A Complete	What standards we used for the Security Controls tests?	Date Completed Security Control Testing	Date Contigency Plan Tested
CEFMS	Government Only	Low	yes	03/07/05	Other	01/26/06	01/26/06

(5) Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG? Select...

    (a) If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process? Select...

(6) Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?

Select...

(a) If "yes," specify the amount, a general description of the weakness, and how the funding request will remediate the weakness.

(7) How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?

N/A not a contractor system.

(8) Planning and Operational Systems - Privacy:

(a) Name Of System	(b) Is this a new system?	(c) Is there a PIA that covers this system?	(d) Is the PIA available to the public?	(e) Is a System Records Notice (SORN) required for this system?	(f) Was a new or amended SORN published in FY06?
CEFMS	no	1. Yes.	1. Yes.	yes	4. No, because the system is...

(c) Is there a Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) that covers this system?
1. Yes.
2. No.
3. No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information.
4. No, because even though it has personal identifying information, the system contains information solely about federal employees and agency contractors.

(d) Is the PIA available to the public?
1. Yes.
2. No, because a PIA is not yet required to be completed at this time.
3. No, because the PIA has not been prepared.

(f) Was a new or amended SORN published in FY2006?
1. Yes, because this is a newly established Privacy Act system of records.
2. Yes, because the existing Privacy Act system of records was substantially revised in FY 06.

3. No, because the existing Privacy Act system of records was not substantially revised in FY 06.
4. No; the system is operational, but the SORN has not yet been published.
5. No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets Only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

(1) Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? yes
   (a) If "no," please explain why?

N/A

(2) Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? yes

a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.	Corps of Engineers Financial Management System (CEFMS)
b. If "no," please explain why?	N/A

(3) Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEASRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA Service Component Reused (b)		Internal External Reuse (c)	Funding Percentage (d)
				Reused Service	Reused Service		

				Component Name	Component UPI		
CEFMS	Financial entry, recording & reporting	Financial Ma	Billing and Accounting	Billing and Accounti	202-00-01-01-01-1010-...	No Reuse	20
CEFMS	Financial entry, recording & reporting	Financial Ma	Credit / Charge	Credit / Charge	202-00-01-01-01-1010-...	No Reuse	10
CEFMS	Financial entry, recording & reporting	Financial Ma	Currency Translation	Currency Translatio	202-00-01-01-01-1010-...	No Reuse	5
CEFMS	Financial entry, recording & reporting	Financial Ma	Debt Collection	Debt Collection	202-00-01-01-01-1010-...	No Reuse	15
CEFMS	Financial entry, recording & reporting	Financial Ma	Expense Management	Expense Managem	202-00-01-01-01-1010-...	No Reuse	20
CEFMS	Financial entry, recording & reporting	Financial Ma	Internal Controls	Internal Controls	202-00-01-01-01-1010-...	No Reuse	10
CEFMS	Financial entry, recording & reporting	Financial Ma	Payment / Settlement	Payment / Settleme	202-00-01-01-01-1010-...	No Reuse	20

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service.

4. To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	FEAService Specification (b)
Billing and Accounting	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Web Browser	Microsoft IE 6.0
Credit / Charge	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Web Browser	Microsoft IE 6.0
Currency Translation	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Web Browser	Microsoft IE 6.0
Debt Collection	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Web Browser	Microsoft IE 6.0
Expense Management	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Web Browser	Microsoft IE 6.0
Internal Controls	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Web Browser	Microsoft IE 6.0
Payment / Settlement	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Web Browser	Microsoft IE 6.0
Internal Controls	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communication	Microsoft Outlook
Billing and Accounting	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	Intranet, HTTPS, andCorps URL
Credit / Charge	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	Intranet, HTTPS, andCorps URL
Currency Translation	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	Intranet, HTTPS, andCorps URL
Debt Collection	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	Intranet, HTTPS, andCorps URL
Expense Management	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	Intranet, HTTPS, andCorps URL
Internal Controls	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	Intranet, HTTPS, andCorps URL
Payment / Settlement	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	Intranet, HTTPS, andCorps URL
Billing and Accounting	Service Access and Del	Delivery Channels	Intranet	Corps of Engineers Intranet Architecture
Credit / Charge	Service Access and Del	Delivery Channels	Intranet	Corps of Engineers Intranet Architecture
Currency Translation	Service Access and Del	Delivery Channels	Intranet	Corps of Engineers Intranet Architecture
Debt Collection	Service Access and Del	Delivery Channels	Intranet	Corps of Engineers Intranet Architecture
Expense Management	Service Access and Del	Delivery Channels	Intranet	Corps of Engineers Intranet Architecture
Internal Controls	Service Access and Del	Delivery Channels	Intranet	Corps of Engineers Intranet Architecture

Payment / Settlement	Service Access and Del	Delivery Channels	Intranet	Corps of Engineers Intranet Architecture
Billing and Accounting	Service Access and Del	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-or	Authenticated Access at UNIX level and data base level
Credit / Charge	Service Access and Del	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-or	Authenticated Access at UNIX level and data base level
Currency Translation	Service Access and Del	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-or	Authenticated Access at UNIX level and data base level
Debt Collection	Service Access and Del	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-or	Authenticated Access at UNIX level and data base level
Expense Management	Service Access and Del	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-or	Authenticated Access at UNIX level and data base level
Internal Controls	Service Access and Del	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-or	Authenticated Access at UNIX level and data base level
Payment / Settlement	Service Access and Del	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-or	Authenticated Access at UNIX level and data base level
Billing and Accounting	Service Access and Del	Service Requirements	Supporting Security Services	NIST FIPS guidelinesPrivacy Act guidelines
Credit / Charge	Service Access and Del	Service Requirements	Supporting Security Services	NIST FIPS guidelinesPrivacy Act guidelines
Currency Translation	Service Access and Del	Service Requirements	Supporting Security Services	NIST FIPS guidelinesPrivacy Act guidelines
Debt Collection	Service Access and Del	Service Requirements	Supporting Security Services	NIST FIPS guidelinesPrivacy Act guidelines
Expense Management	Service Access and Del	Service Requirements	Supporting Security Services	NIST FIPS guidelinesPrivacy Act guidelines
Internal Controls	Service Access and Del	Service Requirements	Supporting Security Services	NIST FIPS guidelinesPrivacy Act guidelines
Payment / Settlement	Service Access and Del	Service Requirements	Supporting Security Services	NIST FIPS guidelinesPrivacy Act guidelines
Billing and Accounting	Service Access and Del	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	SMTP,DHCP, DNS, X.400
Credit / Charge	Service Access and Del	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	SMTP,DHCP, DNS, X.400
Currency Translation	Service Access and Del	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	SMTP,DHCP, DNS, X.400
Debt Collection	Service Access and Del	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	SMTP,DHCP, DNS, X.400
Expense Management	Service Access and Del	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	SMTP,DHCP, DNS, X.400
Internal Controls	Service Access and Del	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	SMTP,DHCP, DNS, X.400
Payment / Settlement	Service Access and Del	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	SMTP,DHCP, DNS, X.400
Billing and Accounting	Service Access and Del	Service Transport	Service Transport	TCP, IP, HTTPS, SFTP

Credit / Charge	Service Access and Del	Service Transport	Service Transport	TCP, IP, HTTPS, SFTP
Currency Translation	Service Access and Del	Service Transport	Service Transport	TCP, IP, HTTPS, SFTP
Debt Collection	Service Access and Del	Service Transport	Service Transport	TCP, IP, HTTPS, SFTP
Expense Management	Service Access and Del	Service Transport	Service Transport	TCP, IP, HTTPS, SFTP
Internal Controls	Service Access and Del	Service Transport	Service Transport	TCP, IP, HTTPS, SFTP
Payment / Settlement	Service Access and Del	Service Transport	Service Transport	TCP, IP, HTTPS, SFTP
Billing and Accounting	Service Platform and Inf	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	Oracle, Java2 Runtime, SQR, Cobol, C, SQLPLUS, Linux
Credit / Charge	Service Platform and Inf	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	Oracle, Java2 Runtime, SQR, Cobol, C, SQLPLUS, Linux
Currency Translation	Service Platform and Inf	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	Oracle, Java2 Runtime, SQR, Cobol, C, SQLPLUS, Linux
Debt Collection	Service Platform and Inf	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	Oracle, Java2 Runtime, SQR, Cobol, C, SQLPLUS, Linux
Expense Management	Service Platform and Inf	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	Oracle, Java2 Runtime, SQR, Cobol, C, SQLPLUS, Linux
Internal Controls	Service Platform and Inf	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	Oracle, Java2 Runtime, SQR, Cobol, C, SQLPLUS, Linux
Payment / Settlement	Service Platform and Inf	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	Oracle, Java2 Runtime, SQR, Cobol, C, SQLPLUS, Linux
Billing and Accounting	Service Platform and Inf	Support Platforms	Platform Dependent	SUN, Solaris, Windows (2000, XP, NT)
Credit / Charge	Service Platform and Inf	Support Platforms	Platform Dependent	SUN, Solaris, Windows (2000, XP, NT)
Currency Translation	Service Platform and Inf	Support Platforms	Platform Dependent	SUN, Solaris, Windows (2000, XP, NT)
Debt Collection	Service Platform and Inf	Support Platforms	Platform Dependent	SUN, Solaris, Windows (2000, XP, NT)
Expense Management	Service Platform and Inf	Support Platforms	Platform Dependent	SUN, Solaris, Windows (2000, XP, NT)
Internal Controls	Service Platform and Inf	Support Platforms	Platform Dependent	SUN, Solaris, Windows (2000, XP, NT)
Payment / Settlement	Service Platform and Inf	Support Platforms	Platform Dependent	SUN, Solaris, Windows (2000, XP, NT)
Billing and Accounting	Service Platform and Inf	Delivery Channels	Web Servers	Apache, Oracle
Credit / Charge	Service Platform and Inf	Delivery Channels	Web Servers	Apache, Oracle
Currency Translation	Service Platform and Inf	Delivery Channels	Web Servers	Apache, Oracle

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications.

b. In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

5. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)? no

    a. If "yes," please describe.

  N/A

6. Does this investment provide the public with access to a government automated information system? no

(a) If "yes," does customer access require specific software (e.g., a specific web browser version)?	no
[1] If "yes," provide the specific product name(s) and version number(s) of the required software and the date when the public will be able to access this investment by any software (i.e. to ensure equitable and timely access of government information and services). N/A	

PART III: For "Operation and Maintenance" Investments ONLY (Steady State)

Part III should be completed *only* for investments which will be in "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

Section A: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

Answer the following questions to describe how you are managing investment risks.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? yes

  a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? 7/25/2006
  b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

    yes

  c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:
Previous Risk Management Plan included CEFMS and CEEMIS, because they were combined in one 300 Business Case. The Revised Risk Management Plan addresses CEFMS only.

2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed? no

  a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date? 7/25/2006
  b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?
N/A

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

Answer the following questions about how you are currently managing this investment.

1. Was an operational analysis conducted? yes

   a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed. 7/27/2006

   b. If "yes," what were the results? (Max 2500 Characters)

CEFMS continues to provide reliable and accurate financial data and is providing additional information needed by auditors conducting CFO audit of FY2006 Financial Statements. Progress has been made during FY2006 in support of Program and Project Management by providing additional CEFMS financial data, through a CEFMS/P2 interface, to P2 (the USACE automated information system for Project Management.) User satisfaction with CEFMS remains high, as evidenced by the most recent (January 2006) on-line customer satisfaction survey. CEFMS programs were modified during FY2006 to operate in an Oracle 10g environment, to ensure that both the applications and the environment are using up-to-date technology and are compatible. The current Operational Analysis Plan will be reviewed and revised to include e-government strategy review and ensure it complies with the requirements of the OMB Capital Planning Guide, issued June 2006. That review and revision will be completed by March 2007.

   c. If "no," please explain why it was not conducted and if there are any plans to conduct an operational analysis in the future? (Max 2500 Characters)

N/A

2. Complete the following table to compare actual cost performance against the planned cost performance baseline. Milestones reported may include specific individual scheduled preventative and predictable corrective maintenance activities, or may be the total of planned annual operation and maintenance efforts). Indicate if the information provided includes government and contractor costs:

a. What costs are included in the reported Cost/Schedule Performance information (Government Only/Contractor Only/Both)?

Contractor and Government

Description of Milestone (Max 50 Characters)	Planned		Actual		Baseline Schedule Variance (#Days)	Baseline Cost Variance ($M)
	Completion Date	Total Cost ($M)	Completion Date	Total Costs ($M)		
Operation & Maint	9/30/2005	$13.135	9/30/2005	$13.227	0	$0.092
Operation & Maint	9/30/2006	$12.550	9/34/2006	$12.061	0	$0.000

Revise Op. Analysis	3/30/2007	$0.000		$0.000	0	$0.000
Operation & Maint	9/30/2007	$13.020		$0.000	0	$0.000
Op. Analysis	3/30/2008	$0.000		$0.000	0	$0.000
Operation & Maint	9/30/2008	$13.370		$0.000	0	$0.000
Op. Analysis	3/30/2009	$0.000		$0.000	0	$0.000
Operation & Maint	9/30/2009	$8.640		$0.000	0	$0.000
Op. Analysis	3/30/2010				0	$0.000
Operation & Maint	9/30/2010				0	$0.000
Op. Analysis	3/30/2011				0	$0.000
Operation & Maint	9/30/2011				0	$0.000
Op. Analysis	3/30/2012				0	$0.000
Operation & Maint	9/30/2012				0	$0.000

Total Planned Costs: $60.715   **Total Actual Costs:** $25.288